# Summaries

#### Moshe Bar-Asher

# **Understanding a Biblical Form in Light** of the Language of the Benedictions

Translators, commentators, grammarians, and lexicographers disagree as to how to understand the verbal form חַמְבִּי הֹ הִי בִּי לֹא־הָמְנוֹ כִּי לֹא־הָמְנוֹ כִּי לֹא־הָמְנוֹ הִי ("The kindness of the LORD has not ended, His mercies are not spent" – Lam 3:22). In the verse, tav is vocalized with qamatz – הָמְנוֹ – because the form is accentuated by the disjunctive accent zâqēph qāṭôn. Some scholars contend that the verbal form חַמְּנוֹ evolved from the third-person plural past tense form of the root חַמְּנוֹ in the qal stem. The double mem [m] of חַמְנוֹ [mm] underwent dissimilation > מַנוֹ [mm/m > mn/m). Others propose that the form חַמְנוֹ is the first-person plural past tense form in the qal stem, the syllable שׁוֹחִי חִנוֹ בּיִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשִׁ חִנִּיִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וְשִׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ שִׁרְיִי וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִנוֹ וֹשְׁרִי בְּיִי בְּעִינִי וֹשְׁרִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּ

The author of the Amidah prayer integrated the verse from Lamentations in the hemistiches in the Hoda'a (Thanksgiving) benediction. As befits the language of prayer, the Creator is addressed in the second person: הַּטּוֹב כִּי 'You are good – for Your compassion never fails. You are compassionate – for Your loving-kindnesses never cease"). Incidentally, by using the form מַמִּנ , the benediction's author clarifies the meaning of מַמְנוֹ . Indeed, the parallel verb כְּלוֹּ the meaning of בְּלוֹּ the meaning of בּלוֹּ the meaning of a biblical word.

VI SUMMARIES

#### Amikam Gai

## **About Place Names**

An ancient geographical name is neither fortuitous nor arbitrary: it describes a place according to some essential or prominent characteristic, e.g., מעלה־אַרְמִים, צִידוֹן/בּית צִידא, צִין, (ה)/רמה, גבע/ה). Frequently formed in the קַּטְ/קְטָלוֹן pattern, this, however, is an attributive pattern, not one for toponyms. Given that a toponym often contains a descriptive aspect, the attributive pattern is a widely used and suitable one.

The following toponyms are discussed in the article:

- מעלה עקרבים The root עקרב', in its basic form and its expansions עקרב'ערקב, means 'the opposite of straight' physically and metaphorically. מעלה מעלה describes its extremely steep incline.
- עציון The etymological equivalent of שניון is . The meaning of the root غضيان is 'black, dark'. The places whose names include غضيان, are all located in the area between Eilat and several dozen kilometers north of Eilat, a region in which black or dark places abound.
- צל"ם צלמון in Akkadian, Ge'ez, and Arabic means 'black'. In נחל צלמון there are black rocks, and הר צלמון (Ps 68:15) is in the Bashan, a region with abundant black rocks.
- מעוז/מהוו/מהוו is apparently connected with maḥāzu/מהוו/מהוו meaning 'port'.
- הר חורשה חרשה, חרשה are derived from ħuršān (ḤUR-SAG) meaning 'mountain'.
- פלש פלשת means 'to invade'; the Philistines invaded this territory.

## **Moshe Florentin**

# On the Meaning of זכח שכח and the Samaritan Addition to Exod 23:19a

This article treats the Samaritan addition appended to the end of Exod 23:19: כי עשה זאת כזבח שכח ועברה היא לאלהי יעקב,  $k\bar{\iota}$  ' $\bar{a}$ ši  $z\bar{e}$ 'ot kå $z\bar{e}$ ba šåka wåbårå $\bar{\iota}$   $l\bar{e}$ luwwi yå:qob. I argue that, if interpreted as a noun and an infinitive, the phrase זבח שכח in this addition means "forgetting God and

SUMMARIES VII

his commandments regarding sacrifice", or if interpreted as two participles, "one who sacrifices while abandoning (God's commandments)". The word שכח therefore refers to both God and his commandments. This meaning is derived from the contrastive use of the root "וכ"ד in the Pentateuch vis-à-vis the root "וכ"ד, from its occurrences in the Samaritan liturgy, and from the similar meaning of other verbs such as טעה. A thirteenth-century Samaritan commentary written in Arabic supports this interpretation. The root שכ"ח appears in the Pentateuch with reference to following the ways of the gentiles and in a way parallels the root "טע" which in Aramaic signifies idolatry.

#### Ohad Abudraham

# The Language of the Aramaic Metal Amulets from Israel and Neighboring Countries

The Jewish Palestinian Aramaic dialect (JPA) that was spoken and written during the Byzantine period (3<sup>rd</sup>–7/8<sup>th</sup> centuries CE) is known mainly from literary sources that underwent editing processes (targumim, midrashim, piyyutim, Talmuds, halakhah, etc.) in some fashion or other. The present study focuses on a well-defined group of epigraphic texts that were found in Israel and its vicinity, which may help bridge the temporal-spatial gap. The article examines three main features of the language of the ever-growing corpus of Jewish incantations inscribed on metal amulets: its close affinity with the reliable witnesses of JPA, its place among the different strata of JPA, and the weakening of the gutturals. The threefold examination reveals the complex nature of the Aramaic of the amulets as a language that alternates between conservatism and innovation

### Ruti Bardenstein and Mira Ariel

# Mamash: Persistent Argumentative Strengthening

This paper focuses on the variety of functions of *mamash* 'real(ly)' in current Hebrew. We make several claims. First, we argue that the various interpretations of *mamash* are reducible to two main lexical meanings,

VIII SUMMARIES

rather than one (McNabb, 2012): intensification (similar to *very*) and counter-loosening (similar to *literally*). Second, while in and of itself, *mamash* no longer carries its earlier meanings of 'tangible thing', 'true thing', these meanings are preserved in certain frozen constructions. Finally, possibly our most intriguing finding is that, as predicted by Bardenstein's (2020) Persistence Hypothesis, the discourse motivation behind the initial semantic changes undergone by *mamash* – argumentative strengthening – is evident in all of its meanings and functions to this day, despite the differences between them

# Alexey (Eliyahu) Yuditsky

### כנוגנים על פראים

In the Cairo Genizah documents there is a dirge on the death of Daniel Gaon by 'Eli ben 'Amram, which includes the utterance ייִרנו כנוגנים על Some explain this phrase as '(they) will sing as birds on branches'. The present paper spells out some difficulties with this explanation and resolves the issue based on the assumption that the usage of the word נוגנים with regard to birds is attributable to a calque from Arabic. It is further suggested that the meaning of נוגנים על פראים is at least two-fold, and is a kind of a Hebraized Aramaic expression גני אפוריא 'lie on a bed'.

#### Hannah Kasher

Moshe Kahan, *Hebrew in Light of Logic: Rabbi Joseph ibn Kaspi's Philosophical, Logical and Linguistic Approach*, Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2018, 197 pp.

Moshe Kahan provides a precise, clear, and comprehensive exploration of Joseph ibn Kaspi's fascinating, original contribution to Hebrew linguistics. The uniqueness of Kaspi's method lies in his application of philosophical content in general, and logical principles in particular, to the theory of the linguistics of the ideal language: sacred language expresses true knowledge of reality, and the root repository of its words embodies a one-to-one

SUMMARIES IX

relationship between signifier and signified. Kahan addresses the complex challenge posed by Kaspi's method with great success: complicated issues receive astute, thorough analysis, and the reader has been rewarded with a rigorous, well-written, readable book. For this, Kahan deserves thanks and appreciation.

English summaries edited by Dena Ordan